



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	16th July 2013 2013 ജൂലൈ 16	} No. നമ്പർ	29
		25th Ashadha 1935 1935 ആഷാഢം 25		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 942/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st May 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Harrison Malayalam Limited, Arapetta Estate, Kadoora Division, Meppadi P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Rajendran, S/o Balan Nair, Thekkekuttiyil Veedu, Vellarmala P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether there is denial of permanency to 10 workers namely S/S. Beena, Vijaya Lekshmi, Sarasu, Santha, Sreedevi, Manomani, Sarasu, Sumathi, Shajith and Kunheerumma by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 950/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Sabu Marattu, Marattu Veedu, Puthen Chantha P. O., Vakathanam, Kottayam, (2) Smt. Sosamma Chacko, Kuzhiyil Veedu, Puthenchantha P. O., Vakathanam, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jacob Andrews, Pezhummootil Veedu, Puthenchantha P. O., Vakathanam, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermedu). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Jacob Andrews, Rubber Tapper by the employers is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 951/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Excel Glasses Limited, Pathirappally, Alappuzha (2) The General Manager, Excel Glasses Limited, Pathirappally, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) The General Secretary, Excel Glasses Employees Association (C.I.T.U.), Pathirappally, Alappuzha, (2) The General Secretary, Glasses Factory Workers Congress (I.N.T.U.C.), Pathirappally, Alappuzha, (3) The General Secretary, Excel Glasses Employees Union (A.I.T.U.C.), (4) The General Secretary, Excel Glasses Working Employees Association (B.M.S.), Pathirappally, Alappuzha, (5) The General Secretary, Excel Glasses Labour Union (U.T.U.C./B.), Pathirappally, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the lockout declared in Excel Glass Limited, Pathirappally, Alappuzha with effect from 27-12-2012 by the Management is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs the workmen are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 952/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Principal, Navadeep Public School, Vettilathazham, Decent Mukku P. O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Quilon District Motor & Mechanical Workers Union, C.I.T.U. Bhavan, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sarvasree K. Thulaseedharan Pillai, T. Thulaseedharan Pillai, G. Lohidaswan, B. Mohanan Pillai, G. Mohanan, B. Radhakrishna Pillai, Drivers and Smt. J. Rema, S. Bindu, B. Jayakumari, S. Sindhu, Cleaners by the management of Navadeep Public School, Decentmukku, Kollam is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 953/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Gundumallai Estate, K.D.H.P. Company Private Limited, Munnar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, High Range Estate Supervisors & Employees Union (U.T. E. C.), Room No.V. C. 9, Vanitha Shopping Complex, Vandiperiyar-685 533 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the industrial Tribunal Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Francis P. F. No. 4813 of Gundumallai Estate, KDHP Co. Ltd., Munnar by the Management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 954/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Sree Ramakrishna Charitable Hospital, Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri T. L. Ramanpilla (Working President), Thiruvananthapuram District Private Hospital Employees Union, T. C. 2/1203, P. R. A. 97, K. K. Lane, Pottakuzhi, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri B. S. Sivaprasad by the Management of Sri Ramakrishna Charitable Hospital, Sasthamangalam is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 955/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri M. K. Khader, Usman Enterprises, C.M.S. College Road, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. A. John, Puthuparampil House, Mallusseri P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peerumede). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri John P. A., Salesman, by the employer is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 956/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Headmaster, Periyakanal Aided L. P. School, Periyakanal and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Highrange Estate Supervisors & Employees Union (U.T.E.C.), Vandiperiyar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peerumede). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Arogyaswamy, the noon meal cook, Periyakanal Aided L. P. School by the Headmaster is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 957/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Lekshmen, Cashew, Nellikunnam, Kottarakkara and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Y. Leela, Paramukalil Vadakkethil Veedu, Mylattumpoika, Chepra P. O., Kottarakkara in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Smt. Y. Leela, Shelling Worker by the management, Lekshmen Cashew, Nellikkunnam is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

RAMANKUTTY, C.

Under Secretary to Government.